UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,722	10/29/2003	Tomohiro Azuma	Q77946	4037
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 10/31/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			WANG, TED M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/694,722	AZUMA, TOMOHIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TED M. WANG	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Au</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 and 24 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 23 is/are withdrawn fi 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,12 and 24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-11,13-22 and 24 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	rom consideration. r election requirement. r.	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te			

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Election Requirement

The response to Election Requirement filed on 8/1/2008 has been entered.
 Group I (claims 1-22 and 24) has been elected.

#### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, filed 04/18/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 12 under 35 USC 103(a) has been fully considered and is persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Farlow et al. (US 7,072,693).

# Claim Objections

3. Claim 24 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 12. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 2611

Claims 12 and 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. While the claims recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing (Reference the May 15, 2008 memorandum issued by Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examining Policy, John J. Love, titled "Clarification of 'Processes' under 35 U.S.C. 101"). The instant claims neither transform underlying subject matter nor positively tie to another statutory category that accomplishes the claimed method steps, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as being <u>a</u> <u>single means claim</u>, i.e., where a means (array antenna transceiver) does not appear in combination with another recited element of means with under breadth. The single means claim which covered every conceivable means for achieving the stated purpose was held nonenabling for the scope of the claim because the

Art Unit: 2611

specification disclosed at most only those known to the inventor. See MPEP §2164.08(a).

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- With regard claim1, the claim reciting an element in means- (or step-) plus-function language fails to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, because the specification does not disclose adequate structure (or material or acts) for performing the recited function is closely related to the question of whether the specification meets the description requirement in 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. See In re Noll, 545 F.2d 141, 149, 191 USPQ 721, 727 (CCPA 1976).

# Examiner's Interpretation

8. With regard to claim 1, Examiner holds "means for grouping" does not invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, because the claim further provides a list of the structure underlying the means and the detailed recitation of the structure for performing the grouping function removes this element from the purview of 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2611

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 10. Claims 1, 12 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Farlow et al. (US 7,072,693).
  - With regard claim 1, Farlow et al. discloses an array antenna transceiver
     (Fig.2A element 200 and column 2 lines 7-21) for performing broadband
     transmission by a multicarrier, comprising:

means for grouping (Fig.2A element 211 and Fig.5 element 500) all subcarriers (Fig.2B element 207 output and Fig.5 element 207 output and column 6 lines 9-16) into a plurality of subcarrier groups (Fig.2A element 211 output and Fig.5 elements 212-214 outputs) and calibrating a transmission route for each group (Fig.5 element 504 and column 6 lines 17-21).

Where Fig.5 and column 6 lines 17-21 of Farlow's reference specifically teaches that the calibration coefficients,  $c_{i,\,k}$ , where  $I=1,\,...,\,M$  and  $k=1,\,...,\,N$ , are used to calibrate each spatial channel. The calibration coefficients are applied in the frequency domain to compensate for frequency-selective amplitude and phase errors. Since the calibration is done for each spatial channel, it is inherent that the transmission route for each group is calibrated.

Art Unit: 2611

With regard claims 12 and 24, which are method claims, respectively,
 related to claim 1, all limitation is contained in claim 1. The explanation of all
 the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.

# Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 13-22 are objected to as being dependent upon an objected claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 12. Reference(s) US 7,139,322 is cited because they are put pertinent to the multicarrier transmission system. However, none of references teach detailed connection as recited in claim.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted M. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-3053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Ted M Wang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611